

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KELLY INNSBRUCK ALEXANDER,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

BARBARA G. CEGAVSKE, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:18-cv-02289-RFB-NJK

Order

It appears that there is a dispute whether discovery should proceed at this juncture. Docket No. 26. The pendency of a motion to dismiss, standing alone, does not stay discovery. *See, e.g., Tradebay, LLC v. eBay, Inc.*, 278 F.R.D. 597, 601 (D. Nev. 2011). Instead, a party seeking to stay discovery must file a motion addressing the applicable standards. *See, e.g., Kor Media Grp., LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013). To the extent Defendants seek to avoid discovery pending resolution of a motion to dismiss, they must file a motion to stay discovery by February 21, 2019. If such a motion is filed, discovery will be stayed on an interim basis until the motion to stay discovery is resolved. If such a motion is not filed, a discovery plan shall be filed by February 28, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 14, 2019



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge